



License Expectations

| | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 1 | Contents | |
| 2 | Licensing constraints | 2 |
| 3 | GPL-3 and derivatives | 2 |
| 4 | Original 4 clause BSD license | 3 |
| 5 | Apertis Licensing expectations | 3 |
| 6 | General rules of the Apertis project and their specific constraints . . . | 3 |
| 7 | Apertis Repository component specific rules | 3 |
| 8 | target | 4 |
| 9 | hmi | 4 |
| 10 | sdk | 5 |
| 11 | development | 5 |
| 12 | Auditing the license of a project | 5 |
| 13 | Documenting exceptions | 6 |
| 14 | Appendix | 6 |
| 15 | The Debian Free Software Guidelines (DFSG) | 6 |
| 16 | Apertis aims to accomplish the following goals with it's licensing: | |
| 17 | • Ensure that all the software shipped in Apertis is open source or at least | |
| 18 | freely distributable, so that downstreams are entitled to use, modify and | |
| 19 | redistribute work derived from our deliverables. | |
| 20 | • Ensure that Apertis images targeting devices (such as target and mini- | |
| 21 | mal), are not subject to licensing constraints that may conflict with the | |
| 22 | regulatory requirements of some intended use cases. | |
| 23 | In order to reach these goals, the below assumptions are made: | |
| 24 | • Licenses declared by open source projects are correct: The soft- | |
| 25 | ware authors correctly document the licensing of their released software | |
| 26 | sources and that they have all the rights to distribute it under the docu- | |
| 27 | mented terms. | |
| 28 | • Licenses verified by the Debian project are correct: The package | |
| 29 | distributors (that is, Debian maintainers and the FTP Masters team) | |
| 30 | check that the licensing terms provided by the software authors are | |
| 31 | open source using the definitions in the Debian Free Software Guide- | |
| 32 | lines ¹ and ensure those terms are documented in a canonical location | |
| 33 | (debian/copyright in the package sources). | |
| 34 | Apertis also performs license scanning ² as part of it's continuous integration | |
| 35 | process to help ensure that it's licensing goals are maintained. | |

¹https://www.debian.org/social_contract#guidelines

²<https://martyn.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/architecture/license-scanning/>

36 **Licensing constraints**

37 Apertis currently limits the usage of the licenses below:

- 38 • GPL-3.0 and derivatives (LGPL-3, AGPL-3)
- 39 • BSD-4-Clause

40 **GPL-3 and derivatives**

41 [Version 3 of the GPL license](#)³ was created to address the concern of users who
42 were prevented from running modified code on their device, when the device was
43 shipped with open source software. A common method for preventing users to
44 run their own code is by using signature verification. This practice is known as
45 [Tivoization](#)⁴. Those licensing rules are a constraint because in some application
46 domains, it is a regulatory (or safety) requirement to ensure that the hardware
47 runs verified software.

48 **Original 4 clause BSD license**

49 The [BSD-4-Clause](#)⁵ license still contains the problematic advertisement clause
50 that was dropped in later versions and is thus to be avoided in Apertis.

51 The original authors of the license retroactively deleted the problematic clause
52 on the software under the University of California copyright, leading to the [BSD-
53 4-Clause-UC](#)⁶ variant which resolves the issue on the original software, but not
54 on software with different copyright holders.

55 **Apertis Licensing expectations**

56 Code written for Apertis, including build scripts, helpers and recipes, should
57 be licensed under the [Mozilla Public License Version 2.0](#)⁷. Images (such as
58 icons) and documentation in Apertis are licensed under the [Creative Commons
59 Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#)⁸ (CC BY-SA 4.0) license.

60 **General rules of the Apertis project and their specific con- 61 straints**

62 The [Debian Free Software Guidelines](#)⁹ defines expectations for the licenses of
63 the projects that are integrated in Debian. They serve as a base for Apertis
64 policy. The DFSG can be read in the [Appendix](#) section of this document.

³<https://spdx.org/licenses/GPL-3.0-or-later.html>

⁴<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tivoization>

⁵<https://spdx.org/licenses/BSD-4-Clause.html>

⁶<https://spdx.org/licenses/BSD-4-Clause-UC.html>

⁷<https://www.mozilla.org/en-US/MPL/2.0/>

⁸<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

⁹https://www.debian.org/social_contract#guidelines

65 For more guidance on how to ensure your software properly identifies it's licens-
66 ing, see the guide on [applying licensing](#)¹⁰.

67 On top of the DFSG expectations, Apertis defines additional rules for specific
68 sections of its package repository which are described in [Apertis specific rules](#).
69 In particular, the sections in the Apertis package repository are meant to group
70 the packages that are installed on images for target devices and should thus be
71 free of [licensing constraints](#).

72 Debian packages in a repository are organized in components. A component is
73 a group of packages sharing a common policy. A single image can incorporate
74 packages from different components.

75 **Apertis Repository component specific rules**

76 The canonical source of Licensing information is this document. Each repository
77 is listed here, with the rules that apply.

78 Each component contains several source packages, and each source package can
79 generate multiple binary packages. For example, in a client server project, it's
80 possible for a source package to generate two binary packages: one for the server
81 side of a project, and one for the client side. Each binary package can have a
82 different license.

83 For current apertis releases, the following components exist:

- 84 • target: contains packages for the final devices,
- 85 • hmi: contains user interfaces packages,
- 86 • sdk: contains packages specific to SDK
- 87 • development: contains packages useful for developers

88 The license expectations for each of those components are defined below. Any
89 package outside these expectations should be documented as a [license excep-](#)
90 [tion](#)¹¹.

91 **target**

92 This component ships source packages producing binary packages used in images
93 deployable on target devices. For a file in a binary package to be considered an
94 artifact, the file must have been generated/compiled/translated from a source
95 package. An artifact can be an executable, a library, or any other file that is
96 subject to a license. Specifically, the binary packages installed on those images
97 should not be affected by licensing constraints. This does not mean that every
98 source or binary package in the component must be completely unrestricted:

- 99 • source packages may contain restricted build scripts, provided that the
100 license does not affect generated artifacts

¹⁰<https://martyn.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/guides/license-applying/>

¹¹<https://martyn.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/>

- 101 • source packages may contain restricted tests or utilities, provided that
102 they are not shipped in the same package as the unrestricted artifacts
103 installed on target images
- 104 • binary packages may contain restricted artifacts, provided that they are
105 built from a source package also producing unrestricted packages that are
106 shipped on target images
- 107 • binary packages may contain restricted artifacts with added exceptions.
108 The [GCC Runtime Library Exception](#)¹² covering `libgcc` is the main exam-
109 ple. Those exceptions should be documented as [license exceptions](#)¹³.

110 **hmi**

111 This component has the same usage and constraints as the target component.

112 **sdk**

113 This component ships source packages producing binary packages suitable for
114 images deployable on SDK images. Since the packages hosted in this component
115 are only meant for development purposes, no further requirement is imposed
116 other than the DFSG ones.

117 **development**

118 This component provides the packages needed to build the packages in the `target`
119 repository component but that are not meant to be installed on target devices.
120 Build tools like GNU binutils, the GNU Autotools, or Meson are hosted in this
121 component.

122 Dependencies of packages in the target component that are not meant to be
123 installed on target images are also hosted in this component. For instance, many
124 source package in the target component also build a binary package containing
125 their tests which are not intended to be part of the target images: the extra
126 dependencies required by the test package but not by the main package are
127 hosted in the development component.

128 The development component also host development tools that are not part of
129 the target images by default, but that may be useful to install manually on
130 target devices during development. Tools like `strace`, `tcpdump` or `bash` belong to
131 this category.

132 Since those packages are exclusively intended for a development purpose within
133 the Apertis development team no further requirement is imposed other than the
134 DFSG ones.

¹²<https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gcc-exception-3.1-faq.html>

¹³<https://martyn.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/>

135 Auditing the license of a project

136 Auditing the license of an imported package depends of the type of the project.

137 For debian packages, the Debian licensing information gives a good indication
138 if a project can be integrated in Apertis. Debian maintainers take extreme
139 precaution to ensure that what they redistribute is redistributable. Using the
140 Debian licensing information provides many benefits:

- 141 • vetting licensing terms to ensure they are open source (in particular, as
142 defined in the DFSG)
- 143 • ensuring that non DFSG-compliant items are excluded from the source
144 code
- 145 • a standardized location for the licensing information (that is, de-
146 bian/copyright in the package source)
- 147 • an ongoing effort to make the provided licensing information machine-
148 readable ([DEP-5](#)¹⁴)

149 Some projects may not be packaged by Debian. In this case, the project source
150 code should contain a document stating the license. Any project that does not
151 provide license information should not be redistributed.

152 Documenting exceptions

153 For Apertis, the list of exceptions should mention:

- 154 • The project location in Apertis mainly gitlab or OBS.
- 155 • The project source package name
- 156 • The project component
- 157 • The rule the project does not meet that requires the exception
- 158 • The reason behind the exception
- 159 • The date at which the exception was made
- 160 • The name of the person who validated the exception

161 The canonical source of Licensing exceptions is the [license exceptions](#)¹⁵ docu-
162 ment.

163 Apertis derived projects should provide an equivalent location for their specific
164 exceptions.

165 Appendix

166 The Debian Free Software Guidelines (DFSG)

167 1. Free Redistribution

168

¹⁴<https://dep-team.pages.debian.net/deps/dep5/>

¹⁵<https://martyn.pages.apertis.org/apertis-website/policies/license-exceptions/>

169 The license of a Debian component may not restrict any party from selling or
170 giving away the software as a component of an aggregate software distribution
171 containing programs from several different sources. The license may not require
172 a royalty or other fee for such sale.

173

174 2. Source Code

175

176 The program must include source code, and must allow distribution in source
177 code as well as compiled form.

178

179 3. Derived Works

180

181 The license must allow modifications and derived works, and must allow them to
182 be distributed under the same terms as the license of the original software.

183

184 4. Integrity of The Author's Source Code

185

186 The license may restrict source-code from being distributed in modified form
187 only if the license allows the distribution of "patch files" with the source
188 code for the purpose of modifying the program at build time. The license must
189 explicitly permit distribution of software built from modified source code. The
190 license may require derived works to carry a different name or version number
191 from the original software. (This is a compromise. The Debian group encourages
192 all authors not to restrict any files, source or binary, from being modified.)

193

194 5. No Discrimination Against Persons or Groups

195

196 The license must not discriminate against any person or group of persons.

197

198 6. No Discrimination Against Fields of Endeavor

199

200 The license must not restrict anyone from making use of the program in a
201 specific field of endeavor. For example, it may not restrict the program from
202 being used in a business, or from being used for genetic research.

203

204 7. Distribution of License

205

206 The rights attached to the program must apply to all to whom the program is
207 redistributed without the need for execution of an additional license by those
208 parties.

209

210 8. License Must Not Be Specific to Debian

211

212 The rights attached to the program must not depend on the program's being part
213 of a Debian system. If the program is extracted from Debian and used or
214 distributed without Debian but otherwise within the terms of the program's

215 license, all parties to whom the program is redistributed should have the same
216 rights as those that are granted in conjunction with the Debian system.

217

218 9. License Must Not Contaminate Other Software

219

220 The license must not place restrictions on other software that is distributed
221 along with the licensed software. For example, the license must not insist that
222 all other programs distributed on the same medium must be free software.

223

224 10. Example Licenses

225

226 The "GPL", "BSD", and "Artistic" licenses are examples of licenses that we
227 consider "free".